UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,418	07/09/2004	Sam Shiaw-Shiang Jiang	ASTP0042USA	4417
27765 7590 11/13/2008 NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION P.O. BOX 506			EXAMINER	
			ANDREWS, LEON T	
MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2416	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

Response to Remarks/Arguments

In remarks after final filed on October 14, 2008,

Applicant contends on page 2 that the EPC timer and the EPC are different.

Examiner disagrees and contends that Johansson et al. discloses that the EPU timer controls the

amount of time the EPU has to wait (column 5, lines 50-52) thus creating an interrelationship

between the two, and thereby classifying the EPU as being a timer also.

On pages 3-4, applicant is in agreement that Johansson et al. discloses the steps of:

A. sending a first status report to the sender in response to a first trigger.

C. initiating a roundtrip timer.

F. determining a second status report (new S-PDU).

But, for steps B. D. and E. applicant contends that Johansson et al. did not disclose after

expiry of the roundtrip timer, receiving an AMD PDU before all the AMD PDUs identified in

the first report received at the receiver.

Examiner contends that Johansson et al. discloses EPC counter which is started when the

status report is transmitted to the peer (receiver) and (EPC) expires before all of the AM PDUs

requested have been received at the receiving side, then the transmitting side transmits a new

status report to the peer, column 6, lines 18-21.

Thus, claim 1 is not allowed and the reconsideration for allowance of claims 1-17 is denied.

LA/la

October 29, 2008